

**Sorting problem:** Given a list  $a$  with  $n$  elements possessing a total order, return a list with the same elements in non-decreasing order.

The sorting problem is perhaps the most fundamental problem in algorithms.

We can sort any kind of element that can be compared (`int`, `float`, `str`). In other words, we require a **total order** on the elements.

There are many direct applications of sorting (catalogs, reports, file listings, etc.)

It's easy to find the minimum of  $n$  numbers:

```
def find_min_index(a):
    minindex = 0
    for k in range(1, len(a)):
        if a[k] < a[minindex]:
            minindex = k
    return minindex
```

This gives immediately a sorting algorithm:

- A list with zero or one element is already sorted.
- Otherwise, find the minimum element, and recursively sort the remaining  $n - 1$  elements.
- Concatenate the minimum and the sorted remaining elements.

There are also many **indirect** applications of sorting. For instance, algorithms can often be made faster by first sorting the data.

```
def has_duplicates_sorted(a):
    for i in range(len(a)-1):
        if a[i] == a[i+1]:
            return True
    return False

def has_duplicates(a):
    return has_duplicates_sorted(sorted(a))
```

duplicates2.py

Sorting + linear time!

```
def selection_sort(a):
    if len(a) <= 1:
        return a
    k = find_min_index(a)
    b = selection_sort(a[:k] + a[k+1:])
    return [a[k]]+b
```

selection0.py

What is the running time?

This implementation works, but it creates a lot of lists, copies a lot of data, and could cause a runtime stack overflow...

**Sorting problem:** Given a list  $a$  with  $n$  elements possessing a total order, return a list with the same elements in non-decreasing order.

Often we no longer need the original, unsorted data.

**In-place Sorting:** Given a list  $a$  with  $n$  elements possessing a total order, rearrange the elements inside the list into non-decreasing order.

Saves a lot of memory for huge data. Ideally we want to do this without creating **any** other list.

In Python:

- `sorted(a)` returns a sorted copy of `a`.
- `a.sort()` sorts the list `a` in-place.

```
def find_min_index(a, i):          Find index of minimum in a[i:]
    minindex = i
    for k in range(i+1, len(a)):
        if a[k] < a[minindex]:
            minindex = k
    return minindex
```

selection2.py

```
def selection_sort(a):
    n = len(a)
    for i in range(0, n-1):
        k = find_min_index(a, i)
        t = a[i]
        a[i] = a[k]
        a[k] = t
```

This uses only one list (in-place) and cannot have stack overflow, but the running time is still  $O(n^2)$ .

```
def find_min_index(a, i):          Find index of minimum in a[i:]
    minindex = i
    for k in range(i+1, len(a)):
        if a[k] < a[minindex]:
            minindex = k
    return minindex
```

selection1.py

```
def selection_sort(a, i):          Sort a[i:]
    if j - i <= 1:
        return
    k = find_min_index(a, i)
    t = a[i]
    a[i] = a[k]
    a[k] = t
    selection_sort(a, i+1) ← Tail recursion!
```

Let's do it the other way round: Sort  $n - 1$  elements first, then insert the last element into the sorted sequence.

```
def insertion_sort(a):
    if len(a) <= 1:
        return a
    b = insertion_sort(a[:-1])
    k = sorted_linear_search(b, a[-1])
    b.insert(k, a[-1])
    return b
```

insertion0.py

```

# sort a[:j]
def insertion_sort(a, j):
    if j <= 1:
        return
    insertion_sort(a, j-1)
    k = j-1      # remaining element index
    x = a[k]     # value of remaining element
    while k > 0 and a[k-1] > x:
        a[k] = a[k-1]
        k -= 1
    a[k] = x

```

insertion1.py

This is not tail-recursion, but we can still easily make it iterative.

```

def insertion_sort(a):
    for j in range(2, len(a)+1):
        # a[:j-1] is already sorted
        k = j-1      # remaining element index
        x = a[k]     # value of remaining element
        while k > 0 and a[k-1] > x:
            a[k] = a[k-1]
            k -= 1
        a[k] = x

```

insertion2.py

Loop invariant allows us to argue the correctness of the program.

Similar to selection sort, we bring the largest element to the end:

```

def bubble_sort(a):
    for last in range(len(a), 1, -1):
        # bubble max in a[:last] to a[last-1]
        for j in range(last-1):
            if a[j] > a[j+1]:
                t = a[j]
                a[j] = a[j+1]
                a[j+1] = t

```

bubble1.py

Bubble-up phase

If nothing happens during a bubble-up phase, we are done!

We stop when nothing happens in one phase.

```

def bubble_sort(a):
    for last in range(len(a), 1, -1):
        # bubble max in a[:last] to a[last-1]
        flipped = False
        for j in range(last-1):
            if a[j] > a[j+1]:
                flipped = True
                t = a[j]
                a[j] = a[j+1]
                a[j+1] = t
        if not flipped:
            return

```

bubble2.py

Effective if the list is already (nearly) sorted.

What is the worst case running time?