

Let us try divide and conquer:

1. Split the problem into smaller instances.
2. Recursively solve the subproblems.
3. Combine the solutions to solve the original problem.

```
def merge_sort(a):
    if len(a) <= 1:
        return a
    mid = len(a) // 2
    return merge(merge_sort(a[:mid]),
                merge_sort(a[mid:]))
```

Merging takes  $O(n)$  time.

Let  $T(n)$  be the time taken by Merge-Sort for  $n$  elements.  
Then  $T(1) = O(1)$  and

$$T(n) = 2T(n/2) + O(n)$$

The solution is  $O(n \log n)$ .

We are given two sorted lists  $a$  and  $b$ , and we wish to combine them into one sorted list.

```
def merge(a, b):
    i = 0; j = 0
    res = []
    while i < len(a) and j < len(b):
        va = a[i]
        vb = b[j]
        if va <= vb:
            res.append(va)
            i += 1
        else:
            res.append(vb)
            j += 1
    res.extend(a[i:])
    res.extend(b[j:])
    return res
```

Divide and conquer:

1. Split the problem into smaller instances.
2. Recursively solve the subproblems.
3. Combine the solutions to solve the original problem.

In Merge-Sort, the divide step is trivial, and the combine step is where all the work is done.

In Quick-Sort, the combine step is trivial, and all the work is done in the divide step:

1. If  $L$  has less than two elements, return. Otherwise, select a **pivot**  $p$  from  $L$ . Split  $L$  into three lists  $S$ ,  $E$ , and  $G$ , where
  - $S$  stores the elements of  $L$  smaller than  $x$ ,
  - $E$  stores the elements of  $L$  equal to  $x$ , and
  - $G$  stores the elements of  $L$  greater than  $x$ .
2. Recursively sort  $S$  and  $G$ .
3. Form result by concatenating  $S$ ,  $E$ , and  $G$  in this order.

```
def quick_sort(a):
    if len(a) <= 1:
        return a
    pivot = a[len(a) // 2]
    small = []
    equal = []
    large = []
    for x in a:
        if x < pivot:
            small.append(x)
        elif x == pivot:
            equal.append(x)
        else:
            large.append(x)
    return (quick_sort(small) + equal +
            quick_sort(large))
```

The running time depends strongly on the choice of the pivot.

In the worst case, it is  $O(n^2)$ .

In the best case, it is  $O(n \log n)$ .

If the pivot is selected randomly, the **expected** running time is  $O(n \log n)$ .

Quick-Sort can be implemented **in-place** (using one array only).