

Part I

Subset Sum

Subset Sum

Subset Sum

Instance: $X = \{x_1, \dots, x_n\}$ - n integer positive numbers, t - target number

Question: Is there a subset of X such the sum of its elements is t ?

M : Max value
input numbers.

R.T. $O(Mn^2)$.

SolveSubsetSum (X, t, M)

```
 $b[0 \dots Mn]$  - boolean array init to false.  
//  $b[x]$  is true if  $x$  can be realized  
// as a subset of  $X$ .  
 $b[0] \leftarrow \text{true}$ .  
for  $i = 1, \dots, n$  do  
  for  $j = Mn$  down to  $x_i$  do  
     $b[j] \leftarrow b[j - x_i] \vee b[j]$   
return  $b[t]$ 
```

Subset Sum

Efficient algorithm???

1. Algorithm solving **Subset Sum** in $O(Mn^2)$.
2. M might be prohibitly large...
3. if $M = 2^n \implies$ algorithm is not polynomial time.
4. **Subset Sum** is **NPC**.
5. Still want to solve quickly even if M huge.
6. Optimization version:

Subset Sum Optimization

Instance: (X, t) : A set X of n positive integers, and a target number t .

Question: The largest number γ_{opt} one can represent as a subset sum of X which is smaller or equal to t .

Subset Sum

Two-approximation

Lemma

1. (X, t) ; Given instance of **Subset Sum**. $\gamma_{\text{opt}} \leq t$: Opt.
2. \implies Compute legal subset with sum $\geq \gamma_{\text{opt}}/2$.
3. Running time $O(n \log n)$.

Proof.

1. Sort numbers in X in decreasing order.
2. Greedily - add numbers from largest to smallest (if possible).
3. s : Generates sum.
4. u : First rejected number. s' : sum before rejection.
5. $s' > u > 0$, $s' < t$, and $s' + u > t \implies t < s' + u < s' + s' = 2s' \implies s' \geq t/2$.

Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes

Definition (PTAS)

PROB: Maximization problem.

$\epsilon > 0$: approximation parameter.

$\mathcal{A}(I, \epsilon)$ is a **polynomial time approximation scheme (PTAS)** for **PROB**:

1. $\forall I: (1 - \epsilon) |\text{opt}(I)| \leq |\mathcal{A}(I, \epsilon)| \leq |\text{opt}(I)|$,
2. $|\text{opt}(I)|$: opt price,
3. $|\mathcal{A}(I, \epsilon)|$: price of solution of \mathcal{A} .
4. \mathcal{A} running time polynomial in n for fixed ϵ .

For minimization problem:

$$|\text{opt}(I)| \leq |\mathcal{A}(I, \epsilon)| \leq (1 + \epsilon) |\text{opt}(I)|.$$

Polynomial Time Approximation Schemes

1. Example: Approximation algorithm with running time $O(n^{1/\epsilon})$ is a **PTAS**.
Algorithm with running time $O(1/\epsilon^n)$ is not.
2. Fully polynomial...

Definition (FPTAS)

An approximation algorithm is **fully polynomial time approximation scheme (FPTAS)** if it is a **PTAS**, and its running time is polynomial both in n and $1/\epsilon$.

3. Example: **PTAS** with running time $O(n^{1/\epsilon})$ is not an **FPTAS**.
4. Example: **PTAS** with running time $O(n^2/\epsilon^3)$ is an **FPTAS**.

Approximating Subset Sum

Subset Sum Approx

Instance: (X, t, ϵ) : A set X of n positive integers, a target number t , and parameter $\epsilon > 0$.

Question: A number z that one can represent as a subset sum of X , such that $(1 - \epsilon)\gamma_{\text{opt}} \leq z \leq \gamma_{\text{opt}} \leq t$.

Approximating Subset Sum

Looking again at the exact algorithm

ExactSubsetSum(S, t)

```
 $n \leftarrow |S|$   
 $P_0 \leftarrow \{0\}$   
for  $i = 1 \dots n$  do  
     $P_i \leftarrow P_{i-1} \cup (P_{i-1} + x_i)$   
    Remove from  $P_i$  all elements  $> t$   
return largest element in  $P_n$ 
```

1. $S = \{a_1, \dots, a_n\}$
 $x + S = \{a_1 + x, a_2 + x, \dots, a_n + x\}$
2. Lists might explode in size.

Trim the lists...

```
Trim(L', δ)
L ← Sort(L')
L = ⟨y1 ... ym⟩
curr ← y1
Lout ← {y1}
for i = 2 ... m do
  if yi > curr · (1 + δ)
    Append yi to Lout
    curr ← yi
return Lout
```

Definition

For two positive real numbers $z \leq y$, the number y is a δ -approximation to z if
$$\frac{y}{1 + \delta} \leq z \leq y.$$

Observation

If $x \in L'$ then there exists a number $y \in L_{out}$ such that $y \leq x \leq y(1 + \delta)$, where $L_{out} \leftarrow \text{Trim}(L', \delta)$.

Trim the lists...

```
Trim(L', δ)
L ← Sort(L')
L = ⟨y1 ... ym⟩
curr ← y1
Lout ← {y1}
for i = 2 ... m do
  if yi > curr · (1 + δ)
    Append yi to Lout
    curr ← yi
return Lout
```

```
ApproxSubsetSum(S, t)
// S = {x1, ..., xn}
// x1 ≤ x2 ≤ ... ≤ xn
n ← |S|, L0 ← {0}, δ = ε/2n
for i = 1 ... n do
  Ei ← Li-1 ∪ (Li-1 + xi)
  Li ← Trim(Ei, δ)
  Remove from Li elems > t.
return largest element in Ln
```

Analysis

1. E_i list generated by algorithm in i th iteration.
2. P_i : list of numbers (no trimming).

Claim

For any $x \in P_i$ there exists $y \in L_i$ such that $y \leq x \leq (1 + \delta)^i y$.

Proof

1. If $x \in P_1$ then follows by observation above.
2. If $x \in P_{i-1} \implies$ (induction) $\exists y' \in L_{i-1}$ s.t. $y' \leq x \leq (1 + \delta)^{i-1} y'$.
3. By observation $\exists y \in L_i$ s.t. $y \leq y' \leq (1 + \delta)y$.
Therefore

$$y \leq y' \leq x \leq (1 + \delta)^{i-1} y' \leq (1 + \delta)^i y.$$

Proof continued

Proof continued

1. If $x \in P_i \setminus P_{i-1} \implies x = \alpha + x_i$, for some $\alpha \in P_{i-1}$.
2. By induction, $\exists \alpha' \in L_{i-1}$ s.t. $\alpha' \leq \alpha \leq (1 + \delta)^{i-1} \alpha'$.
3. Thus, $\alpha' + x_i \in E_i$.
4. $\exists x' \in L_i$ s.t. $x' \leq \alpha' + x_i \leq (1 + \delta)x'$.
5. Thus,
$$x' \leq \alpha' + x_i \leq \alpha + x_i = x \leq (1 + \delta)^{i-1} \alpha' + x_i \leq (1 + \delta)^{i-1} (\alpha' + x_i) \leq (1 + \delta)^i x'. \quad \blacksquare$$

Running time of ApproxSubsetSum

Lemma

For $x \in [0, 1]$, it holds $e^{x/2} \leq (1 + x)$.

Lemma

For $0 < \delta < 1$, and $x \geq 1$, we have

$$\log_{1+\delta} x \leq \frac{2 \ln x}{\delta} = O\left(\frac{\ln x}{\delta}\right).$$

See notes for a proof of lemmas.

Running time of ApproxSubsetSum

Observation

In a list generated by **Trim**, for any number x , there are no two numbers in the trimmed list between x and $(1 + \delta)x$.

Lemma

$|L_i| = O\left(\frac{n}{\varepsilon^2} \log n\right)$, for $i = 1, \dots, n$.

Running time of ApproxSubsetSum

Proof.

1. $L_{i-1} + x_i \subseteq [x_i, ix_i]$.
2. Trimming $L_{i-1} + x_i$ results in list of size

$$\log_{1+\delta} \frac{ix_i}{x_i} = O\left(\frac{\ln i}{\delta}\right) = O\left(\frac{\ln n}{\delta}\right),$$

3. Now, $\delta = \varepsilon/2n$, and

$$\begin{aligned} |L_i| &\leq |L_{i-1}| + O\left(\frac{\ln n}{\delta}\right) \leq |L_{i-1}| + O\left(\frac{n \ln n}{\varepsilon}\right) \\ &= O\left(\frac{n^2 \log n}{\varepsilon}\right). \end{aligned} \quad \square$$

Running time of ApproxSubsetSum

Lemma

The running time of **ApproxSubsetSum** is $O\left(\frac{n^3}{\varepsilon} \log^2 n\right)$.

Proof.

1. Running time of **ApproxSubsetSum** dominated by total length of L_1, \dots, L_n .
2. Above lemma implies $\sum_i |L_i| = O\left(\frac{n^3}{\varepsilon} \log n\right)$.
3. **Trim** sorts lists. i th iteration R.T. $O(|L_i| \log |L_i|)$.
4. Overall, R.T. $O(\sum_i |L_i| \log |L_i|) = O\left(\frac{n^3}{\varepsilon} \log^2 n\right)$. □

ApproxSubsetSum

Theorem

ApproxSubsetSum returns $\mathbf{u} \leq \mathbf{t}$, s.t.

$$\frac{\gamma_{\text{opt}}}{1+\varepsilon} \leq \mathbf{u} \leq \gamma_{\text{opt}} \leq \mathbf{t},$$

γ_{opt} : opt solution.

Running time is $O((n^3/\varepsilon) \log^2 n)$.

Proof.

1. Running time from above.
2. $\gamma_{\text{opt}} \in P_n$: optimal solution.
3. $\exists \mathbf{z} \in L_n$, such that $\mathbf{z} \leq \mathbf{opt} \leq (1 + \delta)^n \mathbf{z}$
4. $(1 + \delta)^n = (1 + \varepsilon/2n)^n \leq e^{\varepsilon/2} \leq 1 + \varepsilon$, since $1 + x \leq e^x$ for $x \geq 0$.
5. $\gamma_{\text{opt}}/(1 + \varepsilon) \leq \mathbf{z} \leq \mathbf{opt} \leq \mathbf{t}$.

□