

- Variables $x_j \in \mathbb{R}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- Maximize $\sum_j c_j x_j$
- Constraints $\sum_j a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$
- and $x_j \geq 0$ for all j .

Can be solved using the **simplex** algorithm.

Simplex has exponential running time in the worst case. (In practice it seems to work well on most problems.)

We have $\text{MAXFLOW} \leq_P \text{LP}$.

Variable x_e for the flow on edge e .

Constraints:

- $x_e \geq 0$
- $x_e \leq c(e)$
- Kirchhoff's law:
For each vertex $u \in S \setminus \{s, t\}$:
$$\sum_{vu \in E} x_{vu} = \sum_{uv \in E} x_{uv}.$$

Target:

Maximize $\sum_{sv \in E} x_{sv}$.

It's a linear program!

Khachian 1979: **ellipsoid method** with **weakly polynomial** running time.

(Running time is polynomial in the number of bits of the input, not on the RealRAM model).

Useless in practice.

Karmakar 1984: **interior-point method**

Also weakly polynomial, but quite useful in practice.

Ongoing arms race between simplex and interior-point methods.

The **big open question**: Is there a **strongly polynomial** algorithm for linear programming?

We can give each edge e a **cost** $\kappa(e)$.

The cost of a flow is

$$\text{cost}(f) = \sum_e \kappa(e) \cdot f(e).$$

In min-cost flow, we are asking for a flow with minimum cost among all flows of value at least ϕ .

New target: $\min \sum_e \kappa(e) x_e$

New constraint: $\sum_{su \in E} x_{su} \geq \phi$.

Variant: Instead of lower bound ϕ on flow, a lower bound $\ell(e)$ for each edge.

So why did we waste (?) so much time discussing max-flow instead of learning linear programming immediately?

- There is a strongly polynomial algorithm for max-flow!
- A strongly polynomial time algorithm for min-cost flow exists as well.
- In practice, max-flow problems are often solved by LP solvers, but for some applications we can do better.
- When all capacities are **integers**, then Ford-Fulkerson and other max-flow algorithms guarantee that the max-flow has **integer value** on each edge.
- This is essential for applications such as bipartite matching, project selection, disjoint paths, etc.

3-SAT \leq_P IP

MonotoneSAT \leq_P IP

... and so IP is NP-hard.

Still, IP solvers solve many practical IP problems, it is worth trying one for a problem at hand.

- Variables $x_j \in \mathbb{Z}$ for $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$
- Maximize $\sum_j c_j x_j$
- Constraints $\sum_j a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, m$
- and $x_j \geq 0$ for all j .

Commonly known as **Integer Programming (IP)** or as ILP.

Mixed integer linear programming means that some variables are in \mathbb{R} , others in \mathbb{Z} .

Writing max-flow as an IP, we can restrict the variables to be integers, and the solver will give us an integer solution...

However, ...