

## Duality by Example

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

1.  $\eta$ : maximal possible value of target function.
2. Any feasible solution  $\Rightarrow$  a lower bound on  $\eta$ .
3. In above:  $x_1 = 1, x_2 = x_3 = 0$  is feasible, and implies  $z = 4$  and thus  $\eta \geq 4$ .
4.  $x_1 = x_2 = 0, x_3 = 3$  is feasible  $\implies \eta \geq z = 9$ .
5. How close this solution is to opt? (i.e.,  $\eta$ )
6. If very close to optimal – might be good enough. Maybe stop?

## Duality by Example: II

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

1. Add the first inequality (multiplied by 2) to the second inequality (multiplied by 3):

$$\begin{aligned} 2(x_1 + 4x_2) &\leq 2(1) \\ +3(3x_1 - x_2 + x_3) &\leq 3(3). \end{aligned}$$

2. The resulting inequality is

$$11x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 11. \quad (1)$$

## Duality by Example: II

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

1. got  $11x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 11$ .
2. inequality must hold for any feasible solution of  $L$ .
3. Objective:  $z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3$  and  $x_1, x_2$  and  $x_3$  are all non-negative.
4. Inequality above has larger coefficients than objective (for corresponding variables)
5. For any feasible solution:  
 $z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 11x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 11$ ,

## Duality by Example: III

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

1. For any feasible solution:  
 $z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 11x_1 + 5x_2 + 3x_3 \leq 11$ ,
2. Opt solution is  $LP$   $L$  is somewhere between  $9$  and  $11$ .
3. Multiply first inequality by  $y_1$ , second inequality by  $y_2$  and add them up:

$$\begin{array}{r} y_1(x_1 + 4x_2) \leq y_1(1) \\ + y_2(3x_1 - x_2 + x_3) \leq y_2(3) \\ \hline (y_1 + 3y_2)x_1 + (4y_1 - y_2)x_2 + y_2x_3 \leq y_1 + 3y_2. \end{array}$$

## Duality by Example: IV

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

$$1. (y_1 + 3y_2)x_1 + (4y_1 - y_2)x_2 + y_2x_3 \leq y_1 + 3y_2.$$

$$\begin{aligned} & 4 \leq y_1 + 3y_2 \\ & 1 \leq 4y_1 - y_2 \\ & 3 \leq y_2, \\ \Rightarrow z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 & \leq \\ (y_1 + 3y_2)x_1 + (4y_1 - y_2)x_2 + y_2x_3 & \leq y_1 + 3y_2. \end{aligned}$$

1. Compare to target

function – require  
expression bigger than  
target function in each  
variable.

## Duality by Example: IV

Primal LP:

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & z = 4x_1 + x_2 + 3x_3 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & x_1 + 4x_2 \leq 1 \\ & 3x_1 - x_2 + x_3 \leq 3 \\ & x_1, x_2, x_3 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Dual LP:  $\hat{L}$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & y_1 + 3y_2 \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & y_1 + 3y_2 \geq 4 \\ & 4y_1 - y_2 \geq 1 \\ & y_2 \geq 3 \\ & y_1, y_2 \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

1. Best upper bound on  $\eta$  (max value of  $z$ ) then solve the LP  $\hat{L}$ .
2.  $\hat{L}$ : Dual program to  $L$ .
3. opt. solution of  $\hat{L}$  is an upper bound on optimal solution for  $L$ .

## Primal program/Dual program

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \geq c_j, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, \\ & y_i \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$$

## Primal program/Dual program

	Primal variables					Primal relation	Min $v$
Dual variables	$x_1 \geq 0$	$x_2 \geq 0$	$x_3 \geq 0$	$\dots$	$x_n \geq 0$		
$y_1 \geq 0$	$a_{11}$	$a_{12}$	$a_{13}$	$\dots$	$a_{1n}$	$\leq$	$b_1$
$y_2 \geq 0$	$a_{21}$	$a_{22}$	$a_{23}$	$\dots$	$a_{2n}$	$\leq$	$b_2$
$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$	$\vdots$
$y_m \geq 0$	$a_{m1}$	$a_{m2}$	$a_{m3}$	$\dots$	$a_{mn}$	$\leq$	$b_m$
Dual Relation	IV	IV	IV	$\dots$	IV		
Max $z$	$c_1$	$c_2$	$c_3$	$\dots$	$c_n$		

$$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & c^T x \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & Ax \leq b. \\ & x \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & y^T b \\ \text{s. t.} \quad & y^T A \geq c^T. \\ & y \geq 0. \end{aligned}$$

## Primal program/Dual program

What happens when you take the dual of the dual?

$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m b_i y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m a_{ij} y_i \geq c_j, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, \\ & y_i \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$
---	---

## Primal program / Dual program in standard form

$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m (-b_i) y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m (-a_{ij}) y_i \leq -c_j, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, \\ & y_i \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$
---	--

## Dual program in standard form / Dual of dual program

$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m (-b_i) y_i \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{i=1}^m (-a_{ij}) y_i \leq -c_j, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n, \\ & y_i \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m. \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n -c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n (-a_{ij}) x_j \geq -b_i, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$
--	--

## Dual of dual program / Dual of dual program written in standard form

$\begin{aligned} \min \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n -c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n (-a_{ij}) x_j \geq -b_i, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$	$\begin{aligned} \max \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n c_j x_j \\ \text{s.t.} \quad & \sum_{j=1}^n a_{ij} x_j \leq b_i, \\ & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, m, \\ & x_j \geq 0, \\ & \text{for } j = 1, \dots, n. \end{aligned}$
--	---

$\Rightarrow$  Dual of the dual LP is the primal LP!

## Result

Proved the following:

### Lemma

Let  $\mathbf{L}$  be an LP, and let  $\mathbf{L}'$  be its dual. Let  $\mathbf{L}''$  be the dual to  $\mathbf{L}'$ . Then  $\mathbf{L}$  and  $\mathbf{L}''$  are the same LP.

## Weak duality theorem

### Theorem

If  $(\mathbf{x}_1, \mathbf{x}_2, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n)$  is feasible for the primal LP and  $(\mathbf{y}_1, \mathbf{y}_2, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m)$  is feasible for the dual LP, then

$$\sum_j \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{x}_j \leq \sum_i \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{y}_i.$$

Namely, all the feasible solutions of the dual bound all the feasible solutions of the primal.

## Weak duality theorem – proof

### Proof.

By substitution from the dual form, and since the two solutions are feasible, we know that

$$\sum_j \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{x}_j \leq \sum_j \left( \sum_{i=1}^m \mathbf{y}_i \mathbf{a}_{ij} \right) \mathbf{x}_j \leq \sum_i \left( \sum_j \mathbf{a}_{ij} \mathbf{x}_j \right) \mathbf{y}_i \leq \sum_i \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{y}_i. \quad \square$$

1.  $\mathbf{y}$  being dual feasible implies  $\mathbf{c}^T \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A}$
2.  $\mathbf{x}$  being primal feasible implies  $\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{b}$
3.  $\Rightarrow \mathbf{c}^T \mathbf{x} \leq (\mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{A})\mathbf{x} \leq \mathbf{y}^T (\mathbf{A}\mathbf{x}) \leq \mathbf{y}^T \mathbf{b}$

## The strong duality theorem

### Theorem (Strong duality theorem.)

If the primal LP problem has an optimal solution  $\mathbf{x}^* = (\mathbf{x}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{x}_n^*)$  then the dual also has an optimal solution,  $\mathbf{y}^* = (\mathbf{y}_1^*, \dots, \mathbf{y}_m^*)$ , such that

$$\sum_j \mathbf{c}_j \mathbf{x}_j^* = \sum_i \mathbf{b}_i \mathbf{y}_i^*.$$